Developing Critical Thinking In Grade 7 Physics Through Tasks Aligned With International Assessment Requirements

Avazbek Anvarovich Sobirov
Deputy Director, Presidential School in Andijan, Independent Researcher, Fergana State University, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

This conference paper examines a methodological approach to developing Grade 7 students' critical-thinking competence in physics through tasks aligned with international assessment principles. The approach is based on progressively structured questions, graphical and diagram-based problems, laboratory activities, real-life contexts, and criterion-referenced assessment supported by mark schemes. The proposed task system moves learners beyond recall by requiring them to interpret data, apply physical laws, justify answers, identify cause-and-effect relationships, analyze experimental results, and formulate evidence-based conclusions. Particular attention is given to task formats consistent with the logic of Cambridge Lower Secondary Science, TIMSS cognitive domains, and PISA-oriented application of knowledge in authentic contexts. Examples from mechanics, pressure, thermal phenomena, electricity, and optics illustrate how multi-stage tasks can integrate conceptual understanding, calculation, graphical interpretation, and scientific explanation. The paper argues that transparent assessment criteria and structured feedback can support both objective evaluation and students' reflection on their own reasoning. The approach may therefore serve as a practical framework for competency-based physics instruction in lower-secondary education.

KEYWORDS: Physics education; Grade 7; critical thinking; international assessment; Cambridge approach; formative assessment; mark scheme; graphical analysis; scientific reasoning.

INTRODUCTION

Modern physics education should not be limited to the transmission of theoretical knowledge and the memorization of formulas. A central objective of contemporary schooling is to help learners think independently, analyze problems, identify causal relationships between physical phenomena, interpret observations and experimental data, and draw scientifically justified conclusions. In physics, these abilities are especially important because meaningful understanding frequently depends on the coordinated use of formulas, graphs, diagrams, measurements, and real-life contexts.

For Grade 7 students, the transition from reproductive learning to analytical reasoning requires carefully designed learning tasks. Questions should therefore extend beyond simple recall and encourage explanation, justification, comparison, application, and evaluation. This orientation is consistent with international assessment frameworks. Cambridge Lower Secondary Science emphasizes "Thinking and Working Scientifically," TIMSS distinguishes knowing, applying, and reasoning, while PISA places strong emphasis on the use of knowledge and skills in authentic situations.

The purpose of this paper is to present a methodological framework for using international-assessment-aligned physics tasks to foster students' critical-thinking competence. The framework is derived from a Grade 7 methodological guide covering mechanics, force and energy, thermal phenomena, electricity, and optics, with an emphasis on progressive task design and criterion-based assessment.

Methodological Approach

The proposed approach is based on a progression from basic understanding to application, analysis, explanation, and conclusion. Instead of asking students only to select a correct answer, tasks require them to explain why an answer is correct, apply an appropriate physical law, interpret graphical or diagrammatic information, connect results to a real-life situation, and justify their reasoning. In this way, assessment becomes part of the learning process rather than only a means of recording a score.

Tasks are organized in multiple parts, for example (a), (b)(i), (b)(ii), (c), and (d). The earlier parts typically check recognition of a concept or formula; subsequent parts require calculation, interpretation, comparison, explanation, or transfer to a new context. This structure supports gradual cognitive progression and allows the teacher to identify which stage of reasoning causes difficulty.

An important component is the use of a mark scheme. Clear criteria specify which elements of a response receive credit, including correct formula selection, substitution, calculation, interpretation, explanation, and conclusion. Such criteria make assessment more transparent and provide students with concrete guidance on how to improve the quality of their scientific responses. This logic also supports formative assessment because feedback can target not only the final answer but the reasoning process that produced it.

Task Types for Developing Critical Thinking

Graphical tasks require students to read axes, identify trends, determine physical meaning from slope or shape, and formulate conclusions. For example, in a distance-time graph, students may first determine speed and then explain what a steeper graph means physically. This sequence requires the learner to connect mathematical representation with physical interpretation rather than merely apply a memorized formula.

Diagram-based tasks can be used to analyze physical relationships visually. In the topic of pressure, students compare objects subjected to different forces over different surface areas and determine which produces the smallest or greatest pressure. A stronger task then asks how the pressure would change if the force or contact area were modified, requiring students to reason through the relation $p = F/A$. Such tasks combine visual analysis, proportional reasoning, calculation, and explanation.

Laboratory tasks provide another important context. In an activity on determining average speed, students may be asked to state the relevant formula, measure total distance and time, calculate the result, identify suitable instruments, describe the experimental procedure, and explain possible sources of error. This sequence links theoretical knowledge with practical action and develops attention to measurement quality, uncertainty, and the reliability of conclusions.

Open explanatory questions also contribute to critical thinking. Prompts such as “Why does a sharp knife cut better?”, “Why is it easier to move over snow on wide skis?”, “What conclusion can be drawn from the graph?”, and “Explain the result using physical laws” require students to transform everyday observations into scientific explanations. They therefore support the development of evidence-based reasoning and the ability to communicate physics meaningfully.

Discussion

The methodological value of international-assessment-aligned tasks lies in the integration of several cognitive operations within a single learning sequence. A student may first retrieve a concept, then apply it, compare alternatives, interpret data, justify a conclusion, and connect the result to a practical context. This differs from traditional short-answer or multiple-choice formats in which the student may reach the correct response without revealing the quality of the underlying reasoning.

The approach also strengthens the relationship between instruction and assessment. When mark schemes are shared or used consistently, students can understand what constitutes a complete scientific response. They learn that accuracy involves not only the final numerical answer but also the appropriate formula, logical steps, correct units, interpretation, and justification. This creates conditions for self-assessment, peer assessment, and targeted teacher feedback.

The use of graphs, diagrams, calculations, experiments, and open-ended questions is particularly suitable for lower-secondary physics because it allows abstract physical concepts to be represented in multiple forms. For Grade 7 learners, such multimodal tasks can make relationships between quantities more visible and can support the gradual transition from concrete situations to formal scientific reasoning.

This paper is methodological in nature and does not report a controlled experimental effect size. Its contribution is therefore the design logic of the task system and the alignment of question structure, scientific reasoning, and assessment criteria. Empirical validation of the approach can be strengthened in subsequent classroom studies through pre- and post-assessment, comparison groups, and statistical analysis of changes in critical-thinking indicators.

Conclusion

Tasks aligned with international assessment principles can serve as an effective methodological tool for moving Grade 7 physics instruction from memorization toward application, analysis, interpretation, and scientifically justified explanation. Their effectiveness depends not on the external format alone, but on the cognitive demand built into each stage of the task.

A structured system that combines multi-part questions, graphical and diagrammatic analysis, practical experiments, real-life contexts, and transparent mark schemes creates opportunities for students to explain their reasoning and reflect on the quality of their responses. In this sense, assessment becomes a mechanism for learning and for the gradual development of critical-thinking competence.

For classroom practice, teachers are encouraged to design tasks that move from simple recall to explanation and justification, use mark schemes with explicit criteria, include graphical and experimental evidence, and provide feedback on reasoning as well as answers. Such practices can support competency-based physics education and better prepare learners for the cognitive demands of international assessment systems and future scientific study.

References

1. Ministry of Preschool and School Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2022). Physics curriculum for general secondary schools. Tashkent.
2. Cambridge Assessment International Education. (2020). Cambridge Lower Secondary Science Curriculum Framework. Cambridge University Press & Assessment.
3. Mullis, I. V. S., & Martin, M. O. (2017). TIMSS 2019 Assessment Frameworks. TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center, Boston College.
4. OECD. (2023). PISA 2022 Assessment and Analytical Framework. OECD Publishing.
5. Cambridge Assessment International Education. (2021). Cambridge Lower Secondary Progression Tests: Science Mark Scheme Samples. Cambridge.
6. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5(1), 7–74.
7. Facione, P. A. (2015). Critical Thinking: What It Is and Why It Counts. Insight Assessment.
8. Bloom, B. S. (1956). Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: The Classification of Educational Goals. Longmans, Green.
9. Sobirov, A. A. (2026). Developing students' critical thinking through tasks aligned with international assessment requirements in physics education: Grade 7 case. Methodological guide. Andijan.
10. Marcial, L. Jr. (2026). Review of the methodological guide "Developing students' critical thinking through tasks aligned with international assessment requirements in physics education." Andijan.